FISCAL NOTE

PUBLIC COST

I. RULE NUMBER

Rule Number and Name:	
10 CSR 26-2.021 Applicability	
Type of Rulemaking:	
Amendment	

II. SUMMARY OF FISCAL IMPACT

Affected Agency or Political Subdivision	Estimated Cost of Compliance in the Aggregate
Federal, State, County, City owned or affiliated underground storage tank owners	\$630 (every 5 years) or \$126 (annual) for the documentation requirements \$560 one-time cost split between all owners (7% of the one-time cost to one contractor)
Missouri Department of Natural Resources	\$0

III. Worksheet

See calculations in Section IV below.

IV. Assumptions

The Department is proposing changes to old, lined tanks that are typically beyond their warranty and life-expectancy. These regulations are being changed to ensure that these tanks are being inspected and repaired in a way that confirms that they remain leak-free as long as they are operational. EPA's UST regulation changes include modifications to the interior lining regulations. Specifically, their regulations require interior lined tanks be closed/replaced if the interior lining fails. The Department's proposed alternative requirements for interior linings, include:

- (1) Linings must meet the new UL 1856 installation standard,
- (2) Technicians must be certified (technicians must be certified to do work in almost every other aspect of UST service),
- (3) Documentation must include photographs,
- (4) An additional, less costly inspection option,
- (5) A new technology that allows repair of a lined tank that might otherwise, under the federal regulations, have to be closed.

While pieces of this regulation may be more costly than the new regulation, the proposed interior lining rule must be considered in its entirety as an alternative to the EPA federal regulation, including the closure requirement.

Furthermore, the Department is only aware of four companies that conduct interior lining installation and repair work in Missouri. Of those four companies, three of them already comply or are in the process of complying with the proposed regulations. As such, the proposed regulations have no associated increased costs to three of the four (including the two predominant companies) in Missouri. As the cost to permanently close a tank can be around \$15,000-\$20,000, the cost for the alternative interior lining rule package, which includes more detailed interior lining requirements, but doesn't require permanent closure in the event of a failure, is a less costly requirement than the federal version of the same rule package.

The one contractor that does not already meet the proposed regulations indicated that it would cost approximately \$8,000 total to comply with the training and certification requirements. This is a one-time cost, which we assume will be passed down to the tank owners (split between privately public owners). He indicated that he believed his product is already tested to be certified under UL1856; as such, there would be no additional costs to comply with this requirement for his company.

As for the additional documentation requirements, he indicated that he already does the additional documentation at some of the sites where he conducts interior lining inspections and installations. According to state records, he conducted approximately 13% of the interior lining inspections and installation; as he already complies with the additional documentation requirements at some of his sites, the Department used 10% of the lined tanks requiring additional documentation for the purposes of this RIR. The company that would need the additional documentation indicated that this would likely cost around \$250 per *facility* report. As we have about 900 active lined steel tanks at approximately 355 facilities, this would leave approximately 35 lined tank facilities that would need additional documentation for the lining inspections and installations. With an expected 36 facilities needing additional documentation, costing \$250 per facility report, we expect a total cost every five years (the interior lining inspection cycle) of \$9,000, so the average *annual* cost is \$1,800.

Based on our data, it appears that 93% of the sites are privately owned; the remaining 7% are publically owned.

Please note, the federal alternative would likely require permanent closure of some of these tanks, which could cost \$15,000-\$20,000 per tank.

Also included in this proposed rule is an additional, alternative interior lining inspection option. Some facilities opt to use interstitial monitoring to comply with tank release detection requirements. This monitoring could be used to meet the interior lining inspection. If a site is using interstitial monitoring, the Department could accept 12 months of interstitial monitoring records in lieu of the standard interior lining inspection. As an interior lining inspection can cost \$2,000-\$5,000 per tank, this is a potential significant cost savings per lined tank.

Cost of proposed amendments to rule 10 CSR 20-10.010 to the Department of Natural Resources The Department of Natural Resources' Hazardous Waste Program already tracks these facilities and inspects their entire tank system, including monitoring systems. As such, there would be no additional cost to the department.